

TRANSIT AND INTERCITY RAIL CAPITAL PROGRAM

Draft Program Guidelines
January 2016

Agenda

- Overview of the Program
- Recent Statutory Changes with SB 9
- Changes in the Draft Guidelines for the 2016 Program
- Discussion and Q&A



Funding

- Existing continuous appropriation
 - Approximately \$440 million through 2017-18 (this is based on 10% of Cap and Trade auction proceeds)
- Additional funding proposed as part of the Administration's transportation funding plan
 - ▶ \$800 million from appropriations in 2016–17 and 2017–18
 - Approximately \$90 million from loan repayments to the Public Transportation Account



Objectives

Modernize California's transit systems to:

- 1. Reduce greenhouse gas emissions;
- Expand and improve transit service to increase ridership;
- Integrate the rail service of the state's various rail operations, including integration with the highspeed rail system; and
- 4. Improve transit safety



Eligible Applicants

- Public agencies including JPAs that operate or have planning responsibility for existing or planned regularly scheduled transit service:
 - Intercity rail & associated feeder bus service
 - Commuter rail service
 - Commuter bus service
 - Bus, ferry or rail transit service (including vanpool services sponsored by public agencies)



Eligible Projects

- Must demonstrate GHG reduction
- Include but are not limited to:
 - 1. Rail capital projects
 - 2. Intercity, commuter & urban rail projects that:
 - Increase service levels
 - Improve reliability
 - Decrease travel times
 - 3. Rail, bus and ferry integration implementation
 - 4. Bus Rapid Transit, Bus and Ferry transit investments (including vanpool) to increase ridership, including implementation of transit effectiveness studies



Evaluation Criteria

- Primary criteria
 - 1. Reduce GHG emissions
 - 2. Increase ridership
 - 3. Integration with other operators
 - 4. Improve safety



Evaluation Criteria

Secondary criteria

- 1. Support other co-benefits including:
 - A. Reducing VMT and auto trips
 - B. Promoting housing & employment near rail or transit
 - Increasing attractiveness of location for additional jobs and housing
 - D. Expanding rail and transit systems
 - E. Enhancing connectivity, integration and coordination
 - F. Implementing clean vehicle technology
 - G. Promoting active transportation
 - H. Improving public health
 - I. Air quality impacts other than GHG emissions reductions



Evaluation Criteria

- Secondary criteria continued:
 - 2. Benefit to disadvantaged communities
 - 3. Priorities developed through collaboration of rail operators
 - 4. Geographic equity
 - 5. Consistency with:
 - Sustainable communities strategy
 - Regional plan to reduce GHG emissions
 - 6. Supplemental funding from non-state sources
 - 7. Integration across other modes
 - 8. Quality of financial plan to support service expansion



Project Selection

- Evaluation criteria
- Risks:
 - GHG emission reduction benefits
 - Cost, scope and schedule
- Factors to be considered include:
 - Need and benefit
 - 2. Readiness and schedule:
 - A. Environmental status
 - B. Agreements with key partners
 - C. Future non-committed investments
 - Leveraging funding from other GHG reduction programs
 - 4. Leveraging other funding, especially discretionary



2015 Selected Projects

Applicant	Project	Amount Recommended		Match Funding		Total Project Cost	
Antelope Valley Transit	Regional Transit Interconnectivity &						
Authority	Environmental Sustainability Project	\$	24,403,000	\$	14,891,051	\$	39,294,051
Capitol Corridor Joint							
Powers Authority	Travel Time Reduction Project	\$	4,620,000	\$	800,700	\$	5,420,700
	Willowbrook/Rosa Parks Station & Blue Line						
Los Angeles MTA (Metro)	Light Rail Operational Improvements Project	\$	38,494,000	\$	108,166,494	\$	146,660,494
LOSSAN Rail Corridor							
Agency	Pacific Surfliner Transit Transfer Program	\$	1,675,000	\$	200,000	\$	1,875,000
	Monterey Bay Operations & Maintenance						
Monterey-Salinas Transit	Facility/Salinas Transit Service Project	\$	10,000,000	\$	10,260,000	\$	20,260,000
Orange County							
Transportation Authority	Bravo! Route 560 Rapid Buses	\$	2,320,000	\$	580,000	\$	2,900,000
Sacramento Regional	Sacramento Regional Transit's Refurbishment				-		
Transit	of 7 Light Rail Vehicles Project	\$	6,427,000	\$	1,607,000	\$	8,034,000
San Diego Association of							
Governments	South Bay Bus Rapid Transit Project*	\$	4,000,000	\$	108,000,000	\$	112,000,000
	San Diego Metropolitan Transit System Trolley						
San Diego MTS	Capacity Improvements Project	\$	31,936,000	\$	11,200,000	\$	43,136,000
	Expanding the SFMTA Light Rail Vehicle Fleet						
San Francisco MTA (MUNI)	Project	\$	41,181,000	\$	162,470,000	\$	203,651,000
San Joaquin Regional Rail							
Commission	Altamont Corridor Express Wayside Power	\$	200,000	\$	-	\$	200,000
	MLK Corridor and Crosstown Miner Corridor						
San Joaquin RTD	Project	\$	6,841,000	\$	12,277,776	\$	19,118,776
	Purchase of 9 Fuel-Efficient Tier IV						
SCRRA (Metrolink)	Locomotives Project	\$	41,181,000	\$	16,869,000	\$	58,050,000
Sonoma-Marin Area Rail					-		
Transit District	SMART Rail Car Capacity Project	\$	11,000,000	\$	46,400,000	\$	57,400,000
	-	\$	224,278,000	\$	493,722,021	\$	718,000,021

^{*}Also recommended for \$7 million from Strategic Growth Council's Affordable Housing and Sustainable Communities program (reflected in match)



- Specifies funding of "transformative capital improvements"
 - Significantly reduce VMT, congestion, & GHG emissions by
 - Creating a new transit system,
 - Increasing the capacity of an existing transit system, or
 - Significantly increasing the ridership of a transit system



- Confirms eligibility of bus & ferry transit
- Requires the approval of a multi-year program of projects
- Eligible project expand to include:
 - Infrastructure access payments to host railroads



- Project evaluation:
 - Extent to which a project reduces GHG emissions
 - Adds to the list of cobenefits of projects that support an SCS:
 - Enhancing the connectivity, integration, and coordination of the state's various transit systems
 - Supplemental funding from non-state sources
 - Increase in transit ridership



- An applicant may submit an application to fund a project over multiple fiscal years
- By July 1, 2018 approve a 5 year program of projects
- A grantee may apply to the CTC for a letter of no prejudice to allow the agency to expend its own money in advance of an allocation



Major Changes in this Draft

- Conforming amendments for SB 9
- Pre-application optional meeting with CalSTA in February
- Significant new detail on evaluation process
- Suggested new funding options such as smart phone mobile ticketing, rail infrastructure access payments, and transit effectiveness studies (with implementation investments)
- Encouragement to coordinate with other greenhouse gas reduction programs



Key Schedule Milestones - Draft

- Dec 18: Draft Guidelines Released
- ▶ Jan 19–21: Workshops
- Jan 22: Guidelines comments deadline
- Jan 26: Quantification comments deadline
- ▶ Feb 4-5: Final Guidelines, Call for projects
- ▶ Feb 22–26: CalSTA Meeting Opportunity
- April 5: Applications due
- August 1: Publish list of approved projects



Pre-Application Meeting Opportunities February 22-26

- Locations:
 - Feb 22 Sacramento Caltrans District 3
 - Feb 23 Oakland Caltrans District 4
 - Feb 24 Los Angeles Caltrans District 7
 - Feb 25 San Diego Caltrans District 11
 - Feb 26 Fresno Caltrans District 6
- Request a meeting by emailing the program at <u>tircpcomments@dot.ca.gov</u>
- Additional detail will be provided in the call for projects



Discussion / Q&A

